



Climate- Smart Extension Services: Adapting to a Changing Environment

¹Neeraj Raj, ²Dr. Yogendra Kumar Singh

¹M. Sc. Scholar, ²Associate professor & Head of Department, Department of Agriculture Extension, College of Agriculture, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna MP

Abstract

Climate change threatens the pillars of agricultural sustainability, food security, and rural livelihoods. Extension services are important for providing farmers adaptive strategies to cope with climate-related risks. Climate-Smart Extension Services (CSES) are extension systems that integrate climate resilience principles, offering farmers timely, relevant, and practical information to adapt to climate variability. Read on and learn why we should CSES over the current best-selling containers and strategies to assist you in CSES in the future as the environment evolves. CSES implementation entails several parts, in addition to capacity building, climate information provision and sustainable agricultural practices. Further, barriers like lack of infrastructure, poor institutions, and social-economic constraints impede the widespread use of climate-smart technologies. Overcoming these barriers requires a multi-stakeholder approach involving policymakers, researchers, extension professionals and local communities. Integrating digital tools, mobile programs, remote sensing, and climate forecasting models can improve accessibility and increase the efficiency of extension services. The article further reports case studies of climate-smart extension programs that have successfully improved agricultural resilience. Some of the most essential strategies comprising participatory approaches, farmer-led innovations, and approaches that promote integration of traditional knowledge with modern scientific techniques have shown to be effective in dealing with climate change. Moreover, it is critical to convert these initiatives into reality through policy



interventions, financial support mechanisms and institutional partnerships, among others. Climate change is already influencing agricultural systems across the world and the adaptation of the extension services model to reflect a climate sensitive trajectory is needed. These frameworks can be strengthened through knowledge-sharing platforms, early warning systems for climate-related risks, as well as capacity-building programs that empower farming communities. With innovative solutions and collaboration among all the stakeholders, extension services can be a game changer in helping farmers adapt to a changing climate and secure food for generations to come.

Introduction

One of the greatest global challenges of today is climate change, impacting agriculture and food security greatly. Global agricultural productivity is being disrupted by rising temperatures, erratic rainfall patterns, increasing frequencies of extreme weather events, and changing pest and disease dynamics. Such changes threaten rural livelihoods, especially in developing countries, where smallholder farmers rely greatly on climate-sensitive natural resources. The agricultural sector, therefore, needs innovative and adaptive strategies for sustainable and resilient development. Extension services are instrumental in this transition, providing information and technology adoption, and helping farmers build resilience to climate change. Climate-Smart Extension Services (CSES) are becoming a cornerstone of contemporary agricultural advisory services. In contrast to conventional extension practices that mainly enhance productivity, CSES assist climate resilience through extension approaches. The second is empowering farmers with tools such as climate-smart agriculture (CSA), which includes sustainable land management, efficient water use, crop diversification, and resilience in crop varieties." CSES also include socio-environmental information services that may provide various weather predictions, early warning systems, and risk management strategies that support farmers in their decision-making process. Even though there is a clear demand for climate-smart interventions, implementation of CSES faces multiple barriers. Extension services fail to



reach all farmers, especially disadvantaged groups due to a lack of institutional capacity, funding, and policy frameworks. Moreover, several farmers also do not have access to the technological innovations, which can enable them to increase their adaptive capacity. So how can we help address these challenges? That's a view for multiple policymakers, research institutions, NGOs, and private sector. Extension services can also take advantage of digital tools like mobile applications, remote sensing, or climate modeling to improve their effectiveness and coverage. This article is about the importance of Climate-Smart Extension Services in Climate change adaptation and ensuring agricultural sustainability. It outlines important strategies for building the extension system, showcases successful case studies, and policy measures that can enable large-scale adoption of climate-smart practices. Extension services are uniquely positioned to promote tools for farmers to mitigate the impacts of climate change using innovative technologies, participatory approaches, and institutional collaborations. Data on climate and other patterns relevant to farmers are available through various publicly accessible repositories, yet as climate change continues to disrupt agricultural landscapes, extension systems need to be radically transformed to be more responsive, inclusive, and future-focused. Longterm Climate Resilience CSES strengthening will help mitigate climate risks and enhance agricultural productivity and sustainability. Climate-smart extension services are at the center of this transition and will play a crucial role in determining the future of agriculture-farmers need proactive adaptation strategies.

The Role of Climate-Smart Extension Services

Climate-Smart Extension Services (CSES) are an evolution in agricultural extension that incorporate climate resilience into advisory and training programs that enable farmers to adapt to climate change. But climate-smart methods work beyond productivity — inclusivity of sustainability, resilience and risk management strategies are vital in their transformation in accordance with changing climate conditions.



- Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) is at the heart of CSES, which relies upon three key pillars:
- Sustainable Productivity Enhancement – Assisting farmers to practices that improve yields but keep the ecology intact.
- Adaptation and Resilience – Enhance farmers' capacity to deal with climate fluctuations via better water management, soil conservation, agroforestry, and stress-tolerant varieties of crops.

Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Climate information services, including weather forecasts, seasonal climate predictions, and early warning systems, are an important characteristic of climate smart extension. These tools empower farmers to make data-driven decisions about when to plant, how much to irrigate and when to deploy pest controls, that reduce risks of climate change. Additionally, digital innovations such as mobile advisory services, remote sensing, and GIS-based decision support systems effectively facilitate climate-smart extension by enabling timely access to critical information. CSES Focus on participatory and community-driven approaches to ensure locally relevant solutions. Indigenous knowledge paired with contemporary science presents a comprehensive approach to tackling climate challenges. Research institutions and large investment-based sectors often appeal to a narrow specialised audience, FFSs, climate-resilient demonstration farms, and participatory and interactive training sessions help create that bridge between agricultural research and farming communities.

CSES is not without its challenges either: there is a lack of institutional support to its implementation, funding and a shortage of technical expertise among extension workers. Owing to unsustainable socio-economic constraints, climate-smart technologies are not available for many rural farmer, especially smallholders. These elements demanded a more robust policy framework, investment in extension capacity-building, and multi-stakeholder interactions with



government, NGOs, research institutions, and the private sector.

Barriers to the Adoption of Climate-Smart Extension Services

While there is increasing recognition of the need for Climate-Smart Extension Services (CSES) for sustainable agriculture, there are a number of challenges that prevent their effective delivery. Godwin et al. (2022) identifies ten key barriers: institutional, financial, technology, and socio-economic barriers that prevent farmers from adopting climate-resilient practices.

Examples of Pre-Paradigmatic and Paradigmatic Reasoning

Absence of well-defined policies and institutional framework that support integration of climate smart practices in extension systems is one of the major challenges. However, this ensures that much of the content of agricultural extension programs consists of outdated, traditional mode of production, still concentrating mainly on productivity and not including either adaptation and mitigation approaches related to climate. In addition, the absence of effective coordination between government departments, wards, research institutions and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) reduces the efficacy of CSES. There is a need for stronger enforcement and adequate funding for policies that promote climate-smart agriculture (CSA) and better alignment of such policies with extension objectives.

Limited financial and technical resources

Preparing the soils for sustainable agriculture practices entails investing in critical infrastructures including technology and the human resources needed to promote climate-smart extension services. Budget constraints facing many extension agencies, especially in developing countries, restrict their capacity to train up personnel, to produce educational materials, and to carry out outreach activities. Moreover, the high expense of climate-smart technologies — including precision agriculture tools, remote sensing, and drought-resistant crop varieties — renders them



prohibitive to many smallholder farmers. Especially the absence of financial incentives (subsidies or credit facilities), deters adherence to climate-smart practices.

Capacity and Knowledge Gaps

Most extension professionals receive little specialized instruction in climate science, climate smart agriculture (CSA) practices, or new digital tools. It is important for extension personnel to learn, acquire and upskill themselves continuously, as climate-related issues are rapidly evolving. But few countries have sufficiently focused training programs on climate resilience. Lack of trained extension workers means that farmers may receive inaccurate or impractical advice on climate, which can limit the effectiveness of extension services. The CSES would only be effective if and when all stakeholders have access to (and trust) modern communications and data sharing tools (e.g. mobile advisory apps, geographic information systems or GIS, climate forecasting systems and models). But, in some rural regions, weak internet infrastructure, low smartphone penetration, and lack of digital literacy in farmers, are making it difficult to implement technology-based extension initiatives successfully. To address this digital gap is critical for all farmers to take advantage of climate-smart innovations.

Cultural and Socio-Economic Barriers

Sociocultural factors including (but not limited to) market access, land tenure insecurity, and attribution bias affect farmers' willingness to embrace climate-smart practices. Most smallholders do not own their land and are therefore reluctant to invest in long-term climate adaptation measures. Moreover, traditional farming practices may not always align with modern climate-smart recommendations, making farming communities resistant to such initiatives. To address these issues and to accept climate-smart technologies, community engagement and participatory approaches become paramount. CSES must strengthen the services provided through specific objectives towards resilience integrated with NT with an effective knowledge



process rather than a passive service. The following strategies can help make extension services more climate-responsive, effective, and impactful in addressing agricultural challenges in a changing environment.

Training and Capacity Building of Extension Workers

Trainings need to include climate science, climate risk assessment, and the use of Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) practices. Also, extension agents need to be armed with digital tools and data analytic skills to interpret climate forecasts and provide real-time extension services. Farmers Field Schools (FFS) and participatory extension approaches achieve efficient knowledge transfer and local adaptation.

Integration of Climate Information and Early Warning Systems

Farmers' risk management strategies can be hugely improved upon by providing them with timely and accurate information and advice on climate change. Extension services should work hand-in-hand with meteorological agencies to disseminate forecasts, seasonal climate predictions, and strategies for disaster preparedness. Mobile apps, community radio, SMS alerts and social media platforms can ensure climate information reaches even the most remote farming communities.

Land use change / Adoption of Digital and ICT Based Extension Approaches

Digital technology, including mobile advisory tools, knowledge-sharing platforms, and remote sensing, can transform extension services. Mobile-based applications can serve as a bridge between research institutions and farmers, providing climate advisories, pest and disease alerts, and best agricultural practices for resource-poor farmers. Also, GIS and satellite-based monitoring can contribute to climate impact tracking and extension recommendations adaptation.



Building Cooperation and Farmer-Driven Innovations

Extension services need to be adapted to local climatic and socio-economic conditions, which is where local communities can play a vital role. Such participatory approaches – like Climate-Smart Villages (CSVs) and farmer-led research initiatives – empower farmers to trial and adopt climate-smart solutions in an iterative and locally relevant manner. Wherever possible, indigenous knowledge integration and peer-to-peer learning networks would further enhance the effectiveness of such strategies.

What Governments Need to Do: Climate-resilient extension services for this reason need to have sufficient and very support from global countries. This expansion of climate-smart interventions can be achieved with better funding for extension programs, public-private partnerships, and institutional coordination. Policies should also be geared towards enabling incentives in the form of subsidies for climate-smart technologies, crop insurance schemes, and credit facilities to facilitate investments in such practices by farmers. Sustainability, scalability, and impact of Climate-Smart Extension Services (CSES) depend on effective policy frameworks and institutional support. An enabling environment for climate-resilient agricultural advisory systems can be established through collaboration among governments, research institutions, NGOs and the private sector. Enhancing policy and institutional mechanisms can expand the reach of extension services, disseminate climate-smart technologies, and enhance agricultural resilience in the face of climate change.

Formulating and Reinforcing Climate-Aware Policies

National climate-smart agriculture (CSA) policy is critical for climate-smart extension services. Governments must integrate climate adaptation and mitigation into agriculture policies and align with broader environmental and development goals. Policies should focus on:

- Enhancing linkages between research, extension and farmers to enable diffusion of climate-smart innovations.
- Offering subsidies, tax breaks, and financial support programs to incentivize sustainable farming practices.
- Promoting multi-stakeholder partnerships to harness expertise and resources.

More Investment and Funding for Extension Services

The implementation of CSES is thus constrained by limited financial resources. Funding for climate-smart extension programmes needs to be prioritised by governments in national budgets and in cooperation with international grants and donor support. Major financial mechanisms such as:

- Public expenditure training of extension workers on climate-smart practices
- Advertisement Supporting innovation and research and development (R&D) in climate-resilience technologies.
- Creating climate adaptation funds to help farmers afford small, climate-smart solutions.

Building Capacity and Coordination across Institutions

Successful implementation of climate-smart extension involves coordination and collaboration of several institutions like agricultural universities, extension agencies, meteorological departments and farmers' organizations. Enhancing institutional capacity can be achieved through the following strategie:

- Developing national and regional climate-smart village extension hubs for knowledge sharing.
- Ideas for Developing Climate Resilient Agriculture Systems Help Extension Services Adapt



- Stimulating public-institution and private agribusiness partnerships to help facilitate technology transfer.

Conclusion

As global agriculture faces ever-greater challenges from climate change, Climate-Smart Extension Services (CSES) offer a key piece of the solutions for greater resilience, sustainable on-farm practices, and food security. Thus, when climate adaptation and mitigation strategies are incorporated into existing extension systems, this will help enable farmers better manage such risks, improve productivity, and promote environmental sustainability. But efficient paradigm of climate-smart extension can only be enabled by thorough reforms in policy mechanism, institutional strengthening, capacity building and digital expeditions. This discussion concluded with a lesson about the need to build capacity and trained extension workers. Climate change is an evolving challenge driven by the need for updated knowledge and skills. It is essential for extension agents to be well equipped with the knowledge of climate smart practices, dealing with risks as well as the use of latest and modern digital tools to capacitate farmers. Moreover, farmers themselves require sustained access to training programs, demonstration farms, and peer-learning initiatives to enable widespread uptake of climate-smart technologies. In particular, technology and digital innovations have an important role in the change of extension services. Mobile-enabled advisory tools, remote sensing technology, GIS, and weather forecasting models in-flow give even timely information to farmers, what they can act on as well. But equitable access to these technologies, particularly in rural and remote locations, is still a major challenge. To remedy this, both governments and private sector actors need to invest in better rural connectivity and digital literacy, as well as making smart farming tools affordable to all farmers. Policy and institutional support is the bedrock of scaling up climate-smart extension. National agricultural policies should prioritize adaptation to climate risks, aligning with general development strategies. Governments need to secure more funds for extension programming, provide incentives for key climate-smart agriculture options, and build

multi-stakeholder platforms with research institutions, NGOs, and the private sector. The contribution of climate advisory services can be greatly enhanced by strengthening the coordination between extension services, meteorological agencies & farmers organization. And yet, these advances face challenges, including financial constraints, socio-economic barriers, and resistance to change that hold back further adoption of climate-smart extension services. To tackle these challenges, a collaborative approach between farmers, extension agents, policymakers and researchers is necessary to co-develop context-specific solutions. Furthermore, particular focus has to be given to empower smallholder farmers, women and marginalized communities, in order to ensure access to and benefits from climate-smart strategies for all.

References

1. Altieri, M. A., Nicholls, C. I., & Henao, A. (2015). Agroecology and the design of climate-resilient farming systems. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 35(3), 869–890.
2. FAO. (2013). Climate-Smart Agriculture: Sourcebook. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
3. Harvey, C. A., Saborío-Rodríguez, M., Martínez-Rodríguez, M. R., Viguera, B., Chain-Guadarrama, A., Vignola, R., & Alpizar, F. (2018). Climate change impacts and adaptation among smallholder farmers in Central America. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 253, 268–282.
4. Jat, M. L., Dagar, J. C., Sapkota, T. B., Govaerts, B., Stirling, C., Jat, H. S., ... & Sharma, P. C. (2020). Climate change and agriculture: Adaptation strategies and mitigation opportunities for food security in South Asia and Latin America. *Advances in Agronomy*, 162, 1–44.
5. Lipper, L., Thornton, P., Campbell, B. M., Baedeker, T., Braimoh, A., Bwalya, M., ... & Torquebiau, E. F. (2014). Climate-smart agriculture for food security. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(12), 1068–1072.



6. Mccarthy, N., Lipper, L., & Branca, G. (2011). Climate-smart agriculture: Smallholder adoption and implications for climate change adaptation and mitigation. *Mitigation of Climate Change in Agriculture Series, 4*, 1–37.
7. Meena, H. M., Singh, P., Meena, B. L., & Tewari, R. (2019). Climate-smart extension system: A new paradigm for sustainable agricultural development.
8. Pretty, J., Toulmin, C., & Williams, S. (2011). Sustainable intensification in African agriculture. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 9*(1), 5–24.
9. Rasul, G., & Sharma, B. (2016). The nexus approach to water–energy–food security: An option for adaptation to climate change. *Climate Policy, 16*(6), 682–702.
10. Sulaiman, R. V., & Davis, K. (2012). The "new extensionist": Roles, strategies, and capacities to strengthen extension and advisory services. *Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension, 18*(2), 105–120.